



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/925,103	08/09/2001	Larry Scheurich	6115-60713	2761

24197 7590 09/27/2005

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP  
121 SW SALMON STREET  
SUITE 1600  
PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXAMINER
----------

LY, ANH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2162

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/925,103

Applicant(s)

SCHEURICH ET AL.

Examiner

Anh Ly

Art Unit

2162

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anh Ly. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Gregory L. Maurer (Reg. No.: 43,781). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: THU 09/22/2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Pub. No.: US 20020165727 of Green et al.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative agreed to clarify the executable sequence as shown in the figs. 15's in the drawings of this application for all independent claims in order to overcome the applied reference, Green (2002/0165727 A1). Also, applicants will re-submit the copy of "Building Oracle XML Applications" in the next response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Anh Ly THU 09/22/05  
Examiner's signature, if required

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

### Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

#### Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

#### 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,  
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

#### Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.


GLM/CAJ:kan 6115-60713-01 419536.doc 09/21/05

**KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP**16th Floor World Trade Center, 121 S.W. Salmon Street, Portland, Oregon 97204 U.S.A.  
PHONE: 503-595-5300 FAX: 503-595-5301**PLEASE DELIVER DIRECTLY TO EXAMINER: ANH LY****Fax No.:** (571) 273-4039**Total No. Pages:** 2 including this cover sheet**Message:** Transmitted herewith for filing in the below-identified application is an Interview Agenda.  
If you do not receive all pages or if you have problems receiving transmittal, please call  
Kelly Aho at (503) 595-5300.**In re application of:** Scheurich et al.**Application No.** 09/925,103**Filed:** August 9, 2001**Confirmation No.** 2761**For:** SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR DEFINING  
EXECUTABLE SEQUENCES TO PROCESS  
INFORMATION FROM A DATA  
COLLECTION**Examiner:** Anh Ly**Art Unit:** 2162**Attorney Reference No.** 6115-60713-01**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE**I hereby certify that this paper and the documents referred to  
as being attached or enclosed herewith are being facsimile  
transmitted to fax number (571) 273-4039 on the date  
shown below.Attorney or Agent  
for Applicant(s)Date Transmitted September 21, 2005**INTERVIEW AGENDA**

1. Applicants have scheduled a telephonic interview on **September 22, 2005 at 1:00 PM Eastern** (your time). Please contact me if this date and/or time will not work. In preparation for the interview, Applicants provide this agenda.
2. Applicants would like to discuss claim 1. Specifically, applicants do not believe that the Green or Katz references (individually or in combination) teach or suggest the following element of claim 1:
  - a. **Accepting user input** – Green's general description of applications (Stovepipe Applications Levels to Layers) does not teach or suggest "accepting user input to assemble a set of the discrete executable directives into an executable sequence, wherein the executable sequence comprises: ..." as recited in claim 1.
  - b. Green describes "applications" in paragraph [0092] and Fig. 2.
  - c. Green describes applications that "perform routine business functions that support a business process," and that "access, process, and/or display data." However, Green does not describe "accepting user input" in order to "assemble a set of the discrete executable directives into an executable sequence, wherein the executable sequence comprises: ..." as recited in claim 1.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS TRANSMISSION IS CONFIDENTIAL AND ONLY FOR THE INTENDED RECIPIENT IDENTIFIED ABOVE. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION OR USE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS UNLAWFUL. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE (COLLECT), RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US, AND RETAIN NO COPY.

3. Applicants would also like to discuss why one of the IDS references was not considered. Specifically, the reference "Muench, Building Oracle XML Applications, O'Reilly & Associates, Inc., pp. 1-792, September 2000," which was submitted in an IDS dated 11/8/2002, and later resubmitted on 11/9/2004, was not considered.



Gregory L. Maurer  
Registration No. 43,781

September 21, 2005

Date

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS TRANSMISSION IS CONFIDENTIAL AND ONLY FOR THE INTENDED RECIPIENT IDENTIFIED ABOVE. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION OR USE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS UNLAWFUL. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE (COLLECT), RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US, AND RETAIN NO COPY.